

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/945,448	BRUNNER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Chih-Ching Chow	2191	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Chih-Ching Chow.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Jonathan Geld Reg. No. 44,702.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 18 January 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

1, 11, 17, 21, 41 49, 50, and 59

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner recommends the Applicant representative to amend the claims in order to obviate possible 101 issues; also to fix the claims in the correct wordings so there won't be 'lack of antecedent' issue.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Mary Stelmer*  
*Primary Examiner 1.22.07*

*Chih-Ching Chow*  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)